## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The drawings were objected to for failing to show the adhesive defined in Claim 1. Figure 2B has been amended to show the adhesive, now identified in the specification as adhesive 23. Attached hereto is a redlined drawing showing the amendment to Figure 2B. Also attached hereto is a corrected drawing sheet, labeled in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet". Therefore, this objection to the drawings should be withdrawn.

Claims 1-12 were rejected under Section 103 as being unpatentable over Gelsinger et al. in view of Dronzek Jr. et al. Applicant hereby incorporates by reference the arguments set forth in the Amendment and Response filed by a Certificate of Mailing on June 22, 2005, and received by the U.S. Patent Office on June 27, 2005.

Claim 1 has been amended to recite that the label sheet has the upper and lower end portions defining respective first and second parts of the label, the first line of weakness defining a line separating said upper and lower portions into the first and second parts, and the line of weakness being oriented substantially parallel with lines of the product information printed on the first face. As pointed out by the Examiner on page 6 of the prior Office Action (Response to Arguments), Gelsinger does not disclose the claimed two-part label; rather, incorporation of the detachable section 130 results in 3 portions. Furthermore, the printing or indicia in Gelsinger clearly shows that the printing is oriented substantially perpendicular to the perforation which the Examiner states that corresponds to the claimed line of weakness (the vertical perforated line adjacent the lead line for numeral 130 in Figure 2). As shown in Figure 4 of Gelsinger, in order for the label to be properly applied to the stock bottle 400, the printing/indicia must be oriented perpendicular to the vertical perforated line. Dronzek, Jr. as well as the other references of record fail to cure the deficiencies in Gelsinger. Therefore, Claim 1 clearly distinguishes over the

Application No. 10/666,420

references either considered alone, or in combination. Claims 2-12 depend directly or indirectly from Claim 1. Therefore, this rejection under Section 103 should be withdrawn.

Claim 21 was rejected under Section 102 as being anticipated by Korondi, Jr. Referring to Figure 1 of Korondi, the label form disclosed therein includes two labels formed on opposite sides of a spacing strip. The adhesive is deadened in the area between the perforations which define the spacing strip. The release liner is a continuous piece of material which extends between the label form. Claim 21 has been amended to further recite that the upper portion of the label is coupled to the object, and that a secondary liner is coupled to the lower end portion of the label sheet, said secondary liner having a shape approximating a shape of the lower end portion. Korondi fails to disclose any structure corresponding to the claimed secondary liner which is coupled to the lower end portion of the label sheet, wherein the secondary liner has a shape approximating a shape of the lower end portion. Therefore, Claim 21 clearly distinguishes over Korondi, Jr., and this rejection under Section 102 should be withdrawn.

Applicant has made a sincere effort to place the application in a condition for allowance; therefore, such favorable action is earnestly solicited. In the event that a telephone conversation would further prosecution and/or expedite allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

Brent P. Johnson

Registration No. 38,031

1560 Broadway, Suite 1200 Denver, Colorado 80202-5141

(303) 863-9700

Date: \_1/3 =/65

